STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Pe	etition b	y Pennich	uck East	Utilities,	Inc. for
	Author	ization to	Transfer	Real Pro	perty

DW 2011-____

Direct Pre-filed Testimony of Donald L. Ware

October 3, 2011

- 1 Q. Please state your name, business address and your position with Pennichuck Water
- Works, Inc.
- 3 A. My name is Donald L. Ware. My business address is 25 Manchester St., Merrimack,
- 4 NH. I am the President at Pennichuck East Utilities, Inc. ("PEU"). I have worked for
- 5 Pennichuck Corporation ("Pennichuck") since May 1995, and currently also serve as President of
- 6 its other regulated subsidiaries. I am a licensed professional engineer in New Hampshire,
- 7 Massachusetts and Maine.
- 8 Q. Please describe your educational background.
- 9 A. I have a Bachelor in Science degree in Civil Engineering from Bucknell University in
- 10 Lewisburg, Pennsylvania and I completed all the required courses, with the exception of my
- thesis, for a Masters degree in Civil Engineering from the same institution. I have a Masters in
- Business Administration from the Whittemore Business School at the University of New
- 13 Hampshire.
- 14 Q. Please describe your professional background.
- 15 A. Prior to joining Pennichuck, I served as the General Manager of the Augusta Water
- District in Augusta, Maine from 1986 to 1995. I served as the District's Engineer between 1982
- and 1986. Prior to my engagement with the District, I served as a Design Engineer for the State
- of Maine Department of Transportation for six months and before that as a Design Engineer for
- 19 Buchart-Horn Consulting Engineers from 1979 to 1982.
- Q. What are your responsibilities as President of the Company?
- A. As President, I am responsible for the overall operations of the Company, including water
- 22 quality and supply, distribution, engineering and water system capital improvements. At times
- 23 my duties also involve reviewing and negotiating agreements on behalf of Pennichuck or its

- 1 related entities, including agreements pertaining to the operation of community water systems we
- 2 own and the relevant assets used to operate the systems. In that capacity I have been involved in
- 3 the decision to sell the lots in question, and in the determination by PEU that the real property
- 4 being transferred is no longer used and useful to PEU.
- 5 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 6 A. I will be providing testimony in support of the proposed transfer of three lots to the New
- 7 Hampshire Institute of Agriculture and Forestry (hereinafter "NHIAF"). I will summarize the
- 8 terms of the purchase and sale agreement executed with NHIAF on August 23, 2011, a copy of
- 9 which is attached hereto as DLW-1 (hereinafter the "Agreement"). My testimony is also offered
- 10 to explain why the Company believes it is in the public good for the Commission to approve the
- Petition filed by PEU contemporaneous with this testimony, titled <u>Petition by Pennichuck East</u>
- 12 <u>Utilities, Inc. for Authorization to Transfer Real Property</u> (hereinafter the "Petition").
- 13 Q. Why is PEU interested in selling the real property in question?
- 14 A. The land was originally acquired to establish a new source of water supply for the Birch
- 15 Hill Community Water System (hereinafter "BHCWS"). It was acquired after the Pittsfield
- Aqueduct Company's (hereinafter "PAC") initial request to interconnect the BHCWS and the
- 17 North Conway Water Precinct (hereinafter "NCWP") water system was denied by the voters of
- 18 the NCWP. The NCWP vote rejecting PAC's request to interconnect the BHCWS and the
- 19 NCWP systems forced PAC to pursue other water supply solutions for the BHCWS. PAC is a
- 20 related entity to PEU both being owned by Pennichuck Corporation. Subsequent to PAC
- 21 acquiring the property, but prior to PAC establishing new wells, the NCWP held a second vote.
- NCWP's second vote approved the BHCWS/NCWP interconnection, reversing NCWP's prior
- 23 denial. The interconnection was preferable to drilling new source wells as a result of several

- 1 issues including expensive and time consuming well permitting costs and other related
- 2 challenges. The NH Department of Environmental Services also preferred the interconnection as
- a water supply option for BHCWS. Overall, the life cycle cost for the BHCWS water supply
- 4 was less under the interconnection option than the well development option. PAC eventually
- 5 entered into a 20 year water supply agreement with the NCWP and completed the construction of
- 6 a water main extension connecting BHCWS to the NCWP water system. As a result of the
- 7 interconnection PEU does not need the property proposed for transfer for purposes of water
- 8 supply. PEU no longer needs the real property interest being transferred and retaining that real
- 9 property interest is no longer useful to PEU in its operation of the BHCWS;
- 10 Q. Please describe the terms and background of the proposed sale of real property.
- 11 A. PEU entered into the purchase and sale Agreement with NHIAF, a New Hampshire not
- for profit company with a primary business address of 1857 White Mountain Highway, North
- 13 Conway, New Hampshire 03660, (the "Purchaser"), on August 23, 2011. DLW-1. Under the
- 14 Agreement three separate lots are to be transferred to the Purchaser. The three lots are described
- in the two separate deeds held by PEU attached as DLW-2. PEU has a water main located under
- limited portions of the roughly fifty-five (55) acres to be transferred. PEU will be retaining
- easement rights over an area twenty-five (25) feet wide centered on the water main as it runs
- across the lots, allowing it to operate, maintain and replace its water main. The purchaser will be
- paying PEU the sum of Sixty-five Thousand Dollars (\$65,000.00) for the three lots, which is
- 20 believed to be a fair market value for the lots given their location in the flood plain of the Saco
- 21 River and the general restrictions placed upon the use of the lots restricting the use of each lot,
- 22 231-106, 231-147 and 231-148, to farming or grazing of animals.
- 23 Q. Please describe the location of the parcels to be transferred.

- 1 A. The lots in question are located in the town of Conway between West Side Road and the
- 2 Saco River. The lots to be transferred are identified on the two deeds attached hereto as DLW-2.
- 3 The lots and the easement area being retained are also identified on a "Plain titled Preliminary
- 4 Proposed Water Line Easement" prepared for Pennichuck Water Works, Inc., (hereinafter the
- 5 "Plan") which is attached as DLW-3. The lots will be transferred by a deed substantially similar
- 6 to that which is attached as DLW-4, with relevant reservations of easement rights contained
- 7 therein. PEU's water main runs from the banks of the Saco River across lots 231-106 and 231-
- 8 147 and lot 231-109 to West Side Road. The easement area shown on the Plan west of the lots
- 9 across lot 231-109 is already controlled by PEU.
- 10 Q. Please explain why you believe this acquisition is consistent with the public good.
- 11 A. The real property in question is no longer used or useful to PEU. By reserving easement
- rights PEU will ensure its ability to continue to operate and maintain the water main that
- interconnects the BHCWS with the NCWP water system which provides the water supply to the
- 14 BHCWS. The transfer will also have no impact on PEU's ability to operate the BHCWS.
- 15 Transferring the land to the Purchaser, a non-profit agricultural business development entity will
- benefit the public by making it available to a charitable organization for education, and training
- 17 focused on forestry and agriculture. Transferring the land will eliminate the associated property
- taxes from these parcels for future rate making purposes.
- 19 Q. How is this land held relevant to rate making purposes?
- A. Lots 231-147 and 231-148 were purchased together by PEU for a total of \$16,961.47.
- 21 The value of Lots 231-147 and 231-148 is booked to PEU's Land Account 303-100 and 15
- recognized as part of the Birch Hill Capital Recovery Surcharge Assets for rate making purposes.
- PEU bought Lot 231-106 for \$54,287.04. Lot 231-106 is booked as Land Held For Future Use

- on PEU's books. The difference between the proposed sale price for Lots 231-147, 231-148 and
- 2 231-106 of \$65,000 and PEU's book value for these lots of \$71,248.51 for these lots recognizes
- a value of \$6,248.51 for the easement retained over these lots for the water main interconnection
- 4 between the BHCWS and the NCWP. The \$10,712.96 difference between the \$16,961.47
- 5 currently recognized in the Birch Hill Capital Recovery Surcharge and the value of the retained
- 6 easement of \$6,248.51 will be booked as a gain to PEU from the disposition of Non Utility
- 7 Property.
- 8 Q. Have you contacted the City of Nashua in light of the proposed acquisition of Pennichuck
- 9 by Nashua?
- 10 A. Yes. I attach a Resolution whereby the Board of Alderman of the City of Nashua gave
- written authorization for the proposed transfer of land subject to reservation of easement rights.
- 12 <u>See</u> DLW-5.
- 13 Q. Do you have anything else you would like to add?
- 14 A. Not at this time.
- 15 Q. Does that complete your testimony?
- 16 A. Yes.